Network Working Group
Request for Comments: 138
NIC 6715

28 April 1971

Bob Anderson Rand
Vint Cerf UCLA
Eric Harslem Rand
John Heafner Rand
Jim Madden U. of Ill.
Bob Metcalfe MIT
Arie Shoshani SDC
Jim White UCSB
David Wood Mitre

STATUS REPORT ON PROPOSED DATA RECONFIGURATION SERVICE

CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 3

Purpose of this RFC 3
Motivation 3

II. OVERVIEW OF DATA RECONFIGURATION SERVICE 5

Elements of Data Reconfiguration Service 5
Conceptual Network Connections 6
Connection Protocols and Message Formats 7
Example Connection Configurations 8

III. THE FORM MACHINE 11

Input/Output Stream and Forms 11
Form Machine BNF Syntax 12
Alternate Specification of Form Machine Syntax 13
Forms 14
Rules 14
Terms 15

Term Format 1 15
Term Format 2 15
Term Format 3 18
Term Format 4 18
Application of a Term 19
Restrictions and Interpretations of Term Functions 20

Term and Rule Sequencing 21

IV. EXAMPLES 23

Remarks 23
Field Insertion 23
Deletion 24
Variable Length Records 24
String Length Computation 24
Transposition 25
Character Packing and Unpacking 25

V. PROPOSED USES OF DATA RECONFIGURATION SERVICE 26

VI. IMPLEMENTATION PLANS 28

Appendix A 29

Note 1 to the DRS Working Group 29
Note 2 to the DRS Working Group 30

I. INTRODUCTION

PURPOSE OF THIS RFC

The purpose of this RFC is to describe, in part, a proposed Network experiment and to solicit comments on any aspect of the experiment. The experiment involves a software mechanism to reformat Network data streams. The mechanism can be adapted to numerous Network application programs. We hope that the results of the experiment will lead to a future standard service that embodies the principles described in this RFC. We would like comments on the appropriateness of this work as a Network experiment and also comments on particular Network data reformatting needs that could not easily be accomplished using these techniques.

MOTIVATION

Application programs require specific data I/O formats yet the formats are different from program to program. We take the position that the Network should adapt to the individual program requirements rather than changing each program to comply with a standard. This position doesn't preclude the use of standards that describe the formats of regular message contents; it is merely an interpretation of a standard as being a desirable mode of operation but not a necessary one.

In addition to differing program requirements, a format mismatch problem occurs where users wish to employ many different kinds of consoles to attach to a single service program. It is desirable to have the Network adapt to individual console configurations rather than requiring unique software packages for each console transformation.

One approach to providing adaptation is for those sites with substantial computing power to offer a data reconfiguration service; a proposed example of such a service is described here.

The envisioned modus operandi of the service is that an applications programmer defines forms that describe data reconfigurations. The service stores the forms by name. At a later time, a user (perhaps a non-programmer) employs the service to accomplish a particular transformation of a Network data stream, simply by calling the form by name.

We have attempted to provide a notation tailored to some specifically needed instances of data reformatting while keeping the notation and its underlying implementation within some utility range that is bounded on the lower end by a notation expressive enough to make the experimental service useful, and that is bounded on the upper end by a notation short of a general purpose programming language.

II. OVERVIEW OF THE DATA RECONFIGURATION SERVICE

ELEMENTS OF THE DATA RECONFIGURATION SERVICE

An implementation of the Data Reconfiguration Service (DRS) includes modules for connection protocols, a handler of some requests that can be made of the service, a compiler and/or interpreter (called the Form Machine) to act on those requests, and a file storage module for saving and retrieving definitions of data reconfigurations (forms).

This section highlights connection protocols and requests. The next section covers the Form Machine language in some detail. File storage is not described in this document because it is transparent to the use of the service and its implementation is different at each DRS host.

CONCEPTUAL NETWORK CONNECTIONS

There are three conceptual Network connections to the DRS, see Fig. 1.

1) The control connection (CC) is between an originating user and the DRS.
   A form specifying data reconfiguration is defined over this connection and is applied to data passing over the two connections described below.
2) The user connection (UC) is between a user process and the DRS.
3) The server connection (SC) is between the DRS and the serving process,

Since the goal is to adapt the Network to user and server processes, a minimum of requirements are imposed on the UC and SC.



Figure 1. DRS Network Connections

CONNECTION PROTOCOLS AND MESSAGE FORMATS

Over a control connection the dialog is directly between an originating user and the DRS. Here the user is defining forms or assigning forms to connections for reformatting.

The user connects to the DRS via the initial connection protocol (ICP) specified in NWG/RFC #80, version 1. Rather than going through a logger, the user calls on a particular socket on which the DRS always listens. DRS switches the user to another socket pair.

Messages sent over a control connection are of the types and formats to be specified for TELNET. Thus, a user at a terminal should be able to connect to a DRS via his local TELNET, for example, as shown in Fig. 2.



Figure 2. A TELNET Connection to DRS

When a user connects to DRS he supplies a six-character user ID (UID) as a qualifier to guarantee the uniqueness of his form names. He will have (at least) the following commands:

1. DEFFORM (name)
2. ENDFORM (name)

   These two commands define a form, the text of which is chronologically entered between them. The (name) is six characters.

The form is stored in the DRS local file system.

3. PURGE (name)
   The named form, as qualified by the current UID, is purged from the DRS file system.
4. LISTNAMES (UID)
   The unqualified names of all forms assigned to UID are returned.
5. LISTFORM (name)
   The source text of a named form is returned.
6. DUPLEXCONNECT (user site, user send, user receive, user method, server site, server send, server receive, server method, user-to-server form, server-to-user form)
7. SIMPLEXCONNECT (send site, send socket, send method, receive site, receive socket, receive method, form)

Either one, both, or neither of the two parties specified in 6 or 7 may be at the same host as the party issuing the request. Sites and sockets specify user and server for the connection. Method indicates the way in which the connection is established. Three options are provided:

1) Site/socket already connected to DRS as a dummy control connection.*
2) Connect via standard ICP.†
3) Connect directly via STR, RTS.

EXAMPLE CONNECTION CONFIGURATIONS

There are basically two modes of DRS operation: 1) the user wishes to establish a DRS UC/SC connection(s) between two programs and 2) the user wants to establish the same connection(s) where he (his terminal) is at the end of the

---

*A dummy control connection should not also be the real control connection.

†Only for command no. 6.

UC or the SC. The latter case is appropriate when the user wishes to interact from his terminal with the serving process (e.g., a logger).

In the first case (Fig. 1, where the originating user is either a terminal or a program) the user issues the appropriate CONNECT command. The UC/SC can be simplex or duplex.

The second case has two possible configurations, shown in Figs. 3 and 4.



Figure 3. Use of Dummy Control Connection



Figure 4. Use of Server TELNET

In Fig. 3 the user instructs his TELNET to make two duplex connections to DRS. One is used for control information (the CC) and the other is a dummy. When he issues the CONNECT he references the dummy duplex connection (UC) using the "already connected" option.

In Fig. 4 the user has his TELNET (user side) call the DRS. When he issues the CONNECT the DRS calls the TELNET (server side) which accepts the call on behalf of the console. This distinction in known only to the user since to the DRS the configuration in Fig. 4 appears identical to that in Fig. 1.

Two points should be noted:

1) TELNET protocol is needed only to define forms and direct connections. It is not required for the using and serving processes.
2) The using and serving processes need only a minimum of modification for Network use, i.e., an NCP interface.

III. THE FORM MACHINE

INPUT/OUTPUT STREAMS AND FORMS

This section describes the syntax and semantics of forms that specify the data reconfigurations. The Form Machine gets an input stream, reformats the input stream according to a form describing the reconfiguration, and emits the reformatted data as an output stream.

In reading this section it will be helpful to envision the application of a form to the data stream as depicted in Fig. 5. An input stream pointer identifies the position of data (in the input stream) that is being analyzed at any given time by a part of the form. Likewise, an output stream pointer locates data being emitted in the output stream.



Figure 5. Application of Form to Data Streams

FORM MACHINE BNF SYNTAX

```
form                ::=  rule | rule form
rule                ;;=  label  inputstream  outputstream ;
label               ::=  INTEGER | null
inputstream         ::=  terms | null
terms               ::=  term | terms , term
outputstream        ::=  : terms | null
term                ::=  identifier | identifier  descriptor |
                         descriptor | comparator
identifier          ::=  an alpha character followed by 0 to 3
                         alphamerics
descriptor          ::=  (replicationexpression , datatype ,
                         valueexpression , lengthexpression  control)
comparator          ::=  (value  connective  value  control) |
                         (identifier  ·<=·  control)
replicationexpression  ::=  arithmeticexpression | null
datatype            ::=  B | Ø | X | E | A
valueexpression     ::=  value | null
lengthexpression    ::=  # | arithmeticexpression | null
connective          ::=  .LE. | .LT. | .GE. | .GT. | .EQ. | .NE.
value               ::=  literal | arithmeticexpression
arithmeticexpression  ::=  primary | primary  operator
                           arithmeticexpression
primary             ::=  identifier | L(identifier) | V(identifier) |
                         INTEGER
operator            ::=  + | - | * | /
literal             ::=  literaltype "string"
literaltype         ::=  B | Ø | X | E | A
string              ::=  from 0 to 256 characters
control             ::=  :  options | null
options             ::=  S(where) | F(where) | U(where) |
                         S(where) , F(where) |
                         F(where) , S(where)
where               ::=  arithmeticexpression | R(arithmeticexpression)
```

ALTERNATE SPECIFICATION OF FORM MACHINE SYNTAX

form ::= {rule}$_{1}^{\infty}$

rule ::= {INTEGER}$_{0}^{1}$ {terms}$_{0}^{1}$ {:terms}$_{0}^{1}$;

terms ::= term {,term}$_{0}^{\infty}$

term ::= identifier | {identifier}$_{0}^{1}$

descriptor | comparator

descriptor ::= ({arithmeticexpression}$_{0}^{1}$, datatype ,

{value}$_{0}^{1}$, {lengthexpression}$_{0}^{1}$ {:options}$_{0}^{1}$)

comparator ::= (value connective value {:options}$_{0}^{1}$) |

(identifier '<=' value {:options}$_{0}^{1}$)

connective ::= .LE. | .LT. | .GE. | .GT. | .EQ. | .NE.

lengthexpression ::= # | arithmeticexpression

datatype ::= B | Ø | X | E | A

value ::= literal | arithmeticexpression

arithmeticexpression ::= primary {operator primary}$_{0}^{\infty}$

operator ::= + | - | * | /

primary ::= identifier | L(identifier) |

V(identifier) | INTEGER

literal ::= literaltype "{CHARACTER}$_{0}^{256}$"

literaltype ::= B | Ø | X | A | E

options ::= S(where) {,F(where)}$_{0}^{1}$ |

F(where) {,S(where)}$_{0}^{1}$ | U(where)

where ::= arithmeticexpression |

R(arithmeticexpression)

identifier ::= ALPHABETIC {ALPHAMERIC}$_{0}^{3}$

FORMS

A form is an ordered set of rules,

form ::= rule | rule form

The current rule is applied to the current position of the input stream, If the (input stream part of a) rule fails to correctly describe the contents of the current input then another rule is made current and applied to the current position of the input stream. The next rule to be made current is either explicitly specified by the current term in the current rule or it is the next sequential rule by default.*

If the (input stream part of a) rule succeeds in correctly describing the current input stream, then some data may be emitted at the current position in the output stream according to the rule, The input and output stream pointers are advanced over the described and emitted data, respectively, and the next rule is applied to the now current position of the input stream,

Application of the form is terminated when an explicit return (R(arithmeticexpression)) is encountered in a rule. The user and server connections are closed and the return code (arithmeticexpression) is sent to the originating user.

RULES

A rule is a replacement, comparision, and/or an assignment operation of the form shown below.

rule ::= label inputstream outputstream ;

---

*Flow of control is more fully described under TERM AND RULE SEQUENCING.

A label is the name of a rule and it exists so that the rule may be referenced elsewhere in the form for explicit rule transfer of control. Labels are of the form below.

label ::= INTEGER | *null*

The optional integer labels are in the range 0 ≤ INTEGER ≤ 9999. The rules need not be labeled in ascending numerical order.

TERMS

The inputstream (describing the input stream to be matched) and the outputstream (describing data to be emitted in the output stream) consist of zero or more terms and are of the form shown below.

inputstream ::= terms | *null*
outputstream ::= :terms | *null*
terms ::= term | terms , term

Terms are of one of four formats as indicated below,

term ::= identifier | identifier descriptor | descriptor | comparator

Term Format 1

The first term format is shown below.

identifier

The identifier is a symbolic reference to a previously identified term (term format 2) in the form. It takes on the same attributes (value, length, type) as the term by that name. Term format 1 is normally used to emit data in the output stream.

Identifiers are formed by an alpha character followed by 0 to 3 alphameric characters.

Term Format 2

The second term format is shown below.

identifier descriptor

Term format 2 is generally used as an input stream term but can be used as an output stream term.

A descriptor is defined as shown below.

descriptor ::= (replicationexpression, datatype, valueexpression, lengthexpression control)

The identifier is the symbolic name of the term in the usual programming language sense. It takes on the type, length, and value attributes of the term and it may be referenced elsewhere in the form.

The replication expression is defined below.

replicationexpression ::= arithmeticexpression | *null*
arithmeticexpression ::= primary | primary operator arithmeticexpression
operator ::= + | - | * | /
primary ::= identifier | L(identifier) | V(identifier) | INTEGER

The replication expression is a repeat function applied to the combined data type and value expression. It expresses the number of times that the value (of the data type/value expression) is to be repeated within the field length denoted by the data type/length expression.

A null replication expression has the value of one. Arithmetic expressions are evaluated from left-to-right with no precedence.*

The L(identifier) is a length operator that generates a 32-bit binary integer corresponding to the length of the term named. The V(identifier) is a value operator that generates a 32-bit binary integer corresponding to the

---

*It is anticipated that this interpretation might be changed, if necessary.

value of the term named. (See Restrictions and Interpretations of Term Functions.) The value operator is intended to convert character strings to their numerical correspondants.

The data type is defined below.

datatype ::= B | Ø | X | E | A

The data type describes the kind of data that the term represents.*

Data Type	Meaning	Unit Length
B	Bit string	1 bit
Ø	Bit string	3 bits
X	Bit string	4 bits
E	EBCDIC character	8 bits
A	Network ASCII character	8 bits

The value expression is defined below.

valueexpression ::= value | *null*
value ::= literal | arithmeticexpression
literal ::= literaltype "string"
literaltype ::= B | Ø | X | E | A

The value expression is the unit value of a term expressed in the format indicated by the data type. It is repeated according to the replication expression, in a field whose length is constrained by the length expression.

A null value expression in the input stream defaults to the data present in the input stream. The data must comply with the datatype attribute, however,

A null value expression generates padding according to Restrictions and Interpretations of Term Functions.

The length expression is defined below.

lengthexpression ::= # | arithmeticexpression | *null*

---

*It is expected that additional data types, such as floating point and user-defined types, will be added as needed.

The length expression states the length of the field containing the value expression as expanded by the replication expression. If the value of the length expression is less than the length implied by the expanded value expression, then the expanded value expression is truncated, see Restrictions and Interpretations of Term Functions.

The terminal symbol # means an arbitrary length, explicitly terminated by the value of the next term. The # is legal only in the input stream and not in the output stream.

If the length expression is less than or equal to zero, the term succeeds but the appropriate stream pointer is not advanced. Positive lengths cause the appropriate stream pointer to be advanced if the term otherwise succeeds.

Control is defined under TERM AND RULE SEQUENCING.

Term Format 3

Term format 3 is shown below.

descriptor

It is identical to term format 2 with the omission of the identifier. Term format 3 is generally used in the output stream. It is used in the input stream where input data is to be passed over but not retained for emission or later reference.

Term Format 4

The fourth term format is shown below.

```
comparator    ::= (value connective value control) |
                  (identifier '<=' value control)
value ::=     ::= literal | arithmeticexpression
literal       ::= literaltype "string"
literaltype   ::= B | Ø | X | E | A
string        ::= from 0 to 256 characters
connective    ::= .LE. | .LT. | .GE. | .GT. | .EQ. | .NE.
```

The fourth term format is used for assignment and comparison.

The assignment operator '<=' assigns the value to the identifier. The connectives have their usual meaning. Values to be compared must have the same type and length attributes or an error condition arises and the form fails.

The Application of a Term

The elements of a term are applied by the following sequence of steps.

1. The data type and the value expression together specify a unit value, call it x.
2. The replication expression specifies the number of times x is to be repeated (or copied) in concatenated fashion. The value of the concatenated xs becomes, say, y of length L_1.
3. The data type and the length expression together specify a field length of the input area (call it L_2) that begins at the current stream pointer position.
4. The value of y is truncated to y' if $L_1 > L_2$. Call the truncated length L_1'.
5. If the term is an input stream term, then the value y' of length L_1' is compared to the input value beginning at the current input pointer position.
6. If the values are identical over the length L_1' then the input value of length L_2 (may be greater than L_1') starting at the current pointer position in the input area, becomes the value of the term.

In an output stream term, the procedure is the same except that the source of input is the value of the term(s) named in the value expression and the data is emitted in the output stream.

The above procedure is modified to include a one term look-ahead where lengths are indefinite because of the arbitrary symbol, #.

Restrictions and Interpretations of Term Functions

1. Terms specifying indefinite lengths, through the use of the # symbol, must be separated by some type-specific data such as a literal.* # is legal only in the input stream, not in the output stream.
2. Truncation and padding is as follows:
   a) Character to character (A ↔ E) conversion is left-justified and truncated or padded on the right with blanks.
   b) Character to numeric and numeric to numeric conversions are right-justified and truncated or padded on the left with zeros.
   c) Numeric to character conversion is right-justified and left-padded with blanks.
3. The following are ignored in a form definition over the control connection.
   a) TAB, carriage return, etc.
   b) blanks except within quotes.
   c) /* string */ is treated as comments except within quotes.
4. The following defaults prevail where the term part is omitted.
   a) The replication expression defaults to one.
   b) The data type defaults to type B.
   c) The value expression of an input stream term defaults to the value found in the input stream, but the input stream must conform to data type and length expression. The value expression of an output stream term defaults to padding only.
   d) The length expression defaults to the size of the quantity determined by replication expression, data type, and value expression.

---

*A literal isn't specifically required, however. An arbitrary number of ASCII characters could be terminated by a non-ASCII character.

e) Control defaults to the next sequential term if a term is successfully applied; else control defaults to the next sequential rule. If where evaluates to an undefined label the form fails.

5. Arithmetic expressions are evaluated left-to-right with no precedence.

6. The following limits prevail.
   a) Binary lengths are ≤ 32 bits
   b) Character strings are ≤ 256 8-bit characters
   c) Identifier names are ≤ 4 characters
   d) Maximum number of identifiers is ≤ 256
   e) Label integers are ≥ 0 and ≤ 9999

7. Value and length operators product 32-bit binary integers. The value operator is currently intended for converting A or E type decimal character strings to their binary correspondants. For example, the value of E'12' would be 0.....01100. The value of E'AB' would cause the form to fail.

TERM AND RULE SEQUENCING

Sequencing may be explicitly controlled by including control in a term.

```
control ::=  :options | null
options ::=  S(where) | F(where) | U(where)
             S(where) , F(where) |
             F(where) , S(where)

where   ::=  arithmeticexpression | R(arithmeticexpression)
```

S, F, and U denote success, fail, and unconditional transfers, respectively. Where evaluates to a rule label, thus transfer can be effected from within a rule (at the end of a term) to the beginning of another rule. R means terminate the form and return the evaluated expression to the initiator over the control connection (if still open).

If terms are not explicitly sequenced, the following defaults prevail.

1) When a term fails go to the next sequential rule.
2) When a term succeeds go to the next sequential term within the rule,
3) At the end of a rule, go to the next sequential rule.

Note in the following example, the correlation between transfer of control and movement of the input pointer.

```
1   XYZ(,B,,8:S(2),F(3)) : XYZ ;
2   . . . . . .
3   . . . . . .
```

The value of XYZ will never be emitted in the output stream since control is transferred out of the rule upon either success or failure. If the term succeeds, the 8 bits of input will be assigned as the value of XYZ and rule 2 will then be applied to the same input stream data. That is, since the complete rule 1 was not successfully applied, then the input stream pointer is not advanced.

IV. EXAMPLES

REMARKS

The following examples (forms and also single rules) are simple representative uses of the Form Machine. The examples are expressed in a term-per-line format only to aid the explanation. Typically, a single rule might be written as a single line.

FIELD INSERTION

To insert a field, separate the input into the two terms to allow the inserted field between them. For example, to do line numbering for a 121 character/line printer with a leading carriage control character, use the following form.

```
(NUMB·<=·1);          /*initialize line number counter to one*/
1 CC(,E,,1:F(R(99))),  /*pick up control character and save
                         as CC*/
                      /*return a code of 99 upon exhaustion*/
LINE(,E,,121 : F(R(98))) /*save text as LINE*/
:CC,                  /*emit control character*/
(,E,NUMB,2),          /*emit counter in first two columns*/
(,E,E".",1),          /*emit period after line number*/
(,E,LINE,117),        /*emit text, truncated in 117 byte field*/
(NUMB·<=·NUMB+1:U(1)); /*increment line counter and go to
                         rule one*/;;
```

DELETION

Data to be deleted should be isolated as separate terms on the left, so they may be omitted (by not emitting them) on the right.

```
(,B,,8),              /*isolate 8 bits to ignore*/
SAVE(,A,,10)          /*extract 10 ASCII characters from
                        input stream*/
```

```
:(,E,SAVE,);        /*emit the characters in SAVE as EBCDIC
                      characters whose length defaults to the
                      length of SAVE, i.e., 10, and advance to
                      the next rule*/
```

In the above example, if either input stream term fails, the next sequential rule is applied.

VARIABLE LENGTH RECORDS

Some devices, terminals and programs generate variable length records. The following rule picks up variable length EBCDIC records and translates them to ASCII.

```
CHAR(,E,,#),        /*pick up all (an arbitrary number of)
                      EBCDIC characters in the input stream*/
(,X,X"FF",2)        /*followed by a hexadecimal literal,
                      FF (terminal signal)*/
:(,A,CHAR,),        /*emit them as ASCII*/
(,X,X"25",2);       /*emit an ASCII carriage return*/
```

STRING LENGTH COMPUTATION

It is often necessary to prefix a length field to an arbitrarily long character string. The following rule prefixes an EBCDIC string with a one-byte length field.

```
Q(,E,,#),           /*pick up all EBCDIC characters*/
TS(,X,X"FF",2)      /*followed by a hexadecimal literal, FF*/
:(,B,L(Q)+2,8),     /*emit the length of the characters
                      plus the length of the literal plus
                      the length of the count field itself,
                      in an 8-bit field*/
Q,                  */emit the characters*/
TS;                 */emit the terminal*/
```

TRANSPOSITION

It is often desirable to reorder fields, such as the following example.

```
Q(,E,,20), R(,E,,10) , S(,E,,15), T(,E,,5) : R, T, S, Q ;
```

The terms are emitted in a different order.

CHARACTER PACKING AND UNPACKING

In systems such as HASP, repeated sequences of characters are packed into a count followed by the character, for more efficient storage and transmission. The first form packs multiple characters and the second unpacks them.

```
/*form to pack EBCDIC streams*/
/*returns 99 if OK, input exhausted*/
/*returns 98 if illegal EBCDIC*/
/*look for terminal signal FF which is not a legal EBCDIC*/
/*duplication count must be 0-254*/
1 (,X,X"FF",2 : S(R(99))) ;
/*pick up the EBCDIC and initialize count/*
  CHAR(,E,,1 : F(R(98))) , (CNT ·<=· 1) ;
/*count consecutive EBCDICs like CHAR*/
2 (,E,CHAR,1 : F(3)) , (CNT ·<=· CNT+1 : U(2)) ;
/*emit count and current character*/
3 : (,B,CNT,8), CHAR, (:U(1));
/*end of form*/;;
```

```
/*form to  unpack EBCDIC streams*/
/*look for terminal*/
1 (,X,X"FF",2 : S(R(99))) ;
/*emit character the number of times indicated*/
/*by the count, in a field the length indicated*/
/*by the counter contents*/
CNT(,B,,8), CHAR(,E,,1) : (CNT,E,CHAR,CNT:U(1));
/*failure of form*/
(:U(R(98))) ;;
```

V. PROPOSED USES OF DATA RECONFIGURATION SERVICE

The following are some proposed uses of the DRS that were submitted by the sites indicated.

UCLA

1. Pack/unpack text files.
2. Preprocessor to scan META compiler input.
3. Perhaps graphics.

MIT

1. Reformatting within file transfer service.
2. Character conversions.
3. Possible graphics service (Evans and Sutherland output format).
4. Reformat arguments of subroutines remote to each other.

U. OF ILLINOIS

1. Dependent upon remote use of DRS for many remote services.

SDC

1. Would be essential to data transfer in general.
2. Could be used in relation to data management language.

UCSB

1. Checkout of I/Ø formats of file system.
2. Debugging Network services in general.
3. Mapping their services into future standards.

RAND

1. To describe RJØ/RJE message formats at UCSB.
2. To describe RJS message formats at UCLA.
3. To adapt Network to existing services, in general.

MITRE

1. Character conversions.
2. Testing data formats going into data bases for correct field formatting.

VI. IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

Four sites currently plan to implement and offer the service on an experimental basis.

1. MIT — Implementation of forms interpreter in MIDAS (assembly). Perhaps Tree Meta compiler of forms. Implementation on PDP-10.
2. UCLA — Implementation on SIGMA-7 employing META-7 to compile forms.
3. UCSB — Implementation on 360/75.
4. RAND — Initial implementation on 360/65; compiler to be written in graphic CPS; compiled intermediate forms to be interpreted by assembler language subroutine. Later implemented on PDP-10.

In addition to the above sites, the University of Illinois and Mitre plan to experiment with the service.

VI. IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

APPENDIX A

Note 1 to the DRS Working Group

As you recall, we spent considerable time in discussing the use and meaning of the arbitrary symbol, #. To summarize, it was clear that inclusion of the # in both replication and length expressions led to ambiguities. We settled on its restricted use in the length expression of an input term, although no one was entirely satisfied with this definition.

Recently, Jim White has again commented on the #. Jim feels that it is curious that one can pick up an arbitrary number of EBCDIC characters, for example, but can't pick up an arbitrary number of specific EBCDIC characters such as EBCDIC A's. Jim feels that a more natural way to interpret the length, value, and replication expressions would be as the IBM OS assembler interprets the attributes of the pseudo instruction, define constant (DC).

The IBM OS assembler uses the following format.

1	2	3	4
duplication factor	type	modifiers	nominal value

The duplication factor, if specified, causes the constant to be generated the number of times indicated by the factor. The type defines the type of constant being specified. Modifiers describe the length, scaling, and exponent of the constant. Nominal value supplies the constant described by the subfields that precede it.

Assume that we use the # only as a duplication factor (replication expression). Hence, in the example of the form to pack EBCDIC characters, the counter and looping can be eliminated,

```
CHAR(,E,,1) ;
LEN(#,E,CHAR,1) : (,B,L(LEN)+1,8) , CHAR ;
```

The interpretation is that the data type, length expression, and value expression make up the unit value. This quantity can then be replicated. As our document now stands, only the data type and value expression make up the unit value.

The application of a term according to Jim's suggestion is as follows.

1. The data type, value expression, and length expression together specify a unit value, call it x.
2. The replication expression specifies the number of times x is to be repeated. The value of the concatenated xs becomes y of length L.
3. If the term is an input stream term then the value of y of length L is tested with the input value beginning at the current input pointer position.
4. If the input value satisfies the constraints of y over length L then the input value of length L becomes the value of the term.

Note 2 to the DRS Working Group

There has been recent debate of whether the input pointer should be advanced upon successful completion of a rule (as it now is defined) or upon successful completion of each term. See the example on page 22. If the input pointer is advanced upon successful completion of a term, then rules become equivalent to terms.

I would like for us to discuss at the SJCC both the term attributes and the input pointer advance issues.

John